Scientific Data Management Why is it so hard?

> Nat Goodman Institute for Systems Biology September 2003

# What is scientific data management?



- What is scientific data?
  - Content areas
  - Bench/cage/bedside to publication and back
  - Small vs. big science
- What do we want to manage?
  - Store/fetch
  - Analysis
  - Sharing

# Scientific data sub-problems

- ♦ Basic vs. clinical
- For basic:

	Pre-publication	Public	Post- publication
Small science	???	Literature	Access to databases
	LIMS		
Big science	Analysis pipelines	Databases	Data integration

# Concrete is cheap - abstract is dear

### Concrete

### Abstract



Nat Goodman

# Human genes over time

- 1) name (HD), cytogenetic position (4p16.3), alleles
- name, cytogenetic position, <u>mRNA sequence</u>, <u>coding region</u> (→ protein sequence)
- 3) name, cytogenetic position, <u>splice forms</u> <u>splice form</u> = mRNA sequence, coding region
- name, cytogenetic position, <u>genomic coordinates</u>, <u>exons</u>, splice forms
  - $\underline{exon} = genomic stretch$

<u>splice form</u> = (list of included exons ( $\rightarrow$  mRNA sequence)

OR mRNA sequence), coding region

 $\underline{included exon} = all or part of exon$ 

5) name, genomic coordinates, exons, splice forms, <u>alleles</u>, <u>gene families</u> (paralogs), <u>othologs</u>

# **Complications**

- Granularity issues
  - Some data abstract [Dean C et al. Nat Neurosci. 2003 Jul;6(7):708-16] "neurexins nucleate assembly of cytoplasmic scaffold "
  - Some data specific (hypothetical example) mutation in specific base of human neurexin-3 affects specific splice form
- Attribution and evidence
- Unstable data
  - Conflicting data common
  - Errors common
    - Big databases notoriously error-prone
    - No one has ever calibrated literature may be just as bad!
  - Abstract data evolves
- Versioning, esp., for data derived from public databases
- → What good is all this unless application programs can exploit?

# **Database of all genes**

- Gene concept evolving at different rates in different organisms
- Database of all genes must transcend these differences
  - 1) Least common denominator
  - 2) Union of complexity
  - 3) Something fancy variable complexity abstraction!

## Finding the sweet spot

### Software abstraction



## **Data models**

### • *Data model* can mean

- Design of specific database or data type e.g., GenBank sequence data model
- 2) Computer language or system for encoding designs
  e.g., SQL data model
  ORACLE data model
- Formalism for expressing data designs
  e.g., relational data model
  object data model
- Different data models (in sense #2 or #3) have different expressive power

## Data model history

Relational model (Ted Codd, circa 1968)

- Demolished all predecessors start of modern era
- Entity-relationship, functional, many others
  - Emerged and competed briefly in 80s
- Object models
  - Emerged and competed briefly in 90s
  - Object-relational persists ("behind closed doors")
- Meanwhile, in AI and knowledge representation
  - Semantic data models much more powerful
  - Never accepted by database folks

# Relational data model is wimpy

- Flat tables very limiting
- Current gene data model name, cytogenetic position, genomic coordinates, exons, splice forms needs at least 5 tables Gene (id, name, cyto\_position, chrom, start, length, strand)

Exon (id, start, length) SpliceForm (id, name, gene, coding\_start, coding\_length) SpliceForm\_type1 (spliceform, sequence) SpliceForm\_type2 (spliceform, ordinal, exon, start, length)

plus stored procedures or application code to "splice" exons and "translate" mRNA into protein

 Database of all genes needs several such models plus something that glues them together

## Better data models -> better databases

### Software abstraction



## Therapeutic agents in mouse models

- Agents: drugs, lifestyle, environment
- Mouse models
- Study design
  - Treatment arms
    - -agent, formulation, route of administration, dose, schedule
  - Measures
    - -protocol, schedule, reporting
  - Endpoints
- Study itself, i.e., one running of the design
  - How many animals per arm
  - Deviations from design
  - Results

#### R6/2



#### N171-82Q



Fancy database can't compensate for incomparable data

#### Data from

Schilling G et al. Neurosci Lett 2001 Nov 27;315(3):149-53.

Ferrante RJ et al. J Neurosci 2002 Mar 1;22(5):1592-9

# Why is it so hard?

Vast field

- Complex, abstract, conflicting, evolving, incomparable data
- Relational data model barely copes
- Smarter data models may be futile unless application programs get smarter, too